AO 247 (02/08) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT District of New Jersey United States of America Mork Dovis

udgment: 1/12/2007Case No: 06-cr-76(7)+06-cr-633USM No: 4/154-050Defendant's Attorney Date of Previous Judgment: (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of $\mbox{1}{\mbox{2}}$ the defendant $\mbox{1}{\mbox{3}}$ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons $\mbox{1}{\mbox{3}}$ the court under 18 U.S.C. $\mbox{3}{\mbox{3}}$ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _______ months is reduced to ________________. I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures) 30 VI 16% to 310 months Previous Offense Level: Amended Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Criminal History Category: Amended Guideline Range: Previous Guideline Range: II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. Other (explain): III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS See Opinion Dated September 1, 2009. IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date:

Effective Date:

Joseph E. Irenas, J.U.S. D.J.